1879-80
(L.R.)

File No. 8/79-80
SL. 1-2

Sub: Complaint of M. Subramanya Sastri agent of Singeri Mutt, as regards orders of Govt. being Communicated in the form of an official Memo

Previous references.

Rev. Mis. 7 of 1877-80

190 .

(~~Rev. Mis.~~ Land Rev.)

Later references.

File No. 8 R. 377-80

Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Mr Subramanya Sastri, Agent of the Sringeri Mutt, as regards orders of Govt being communicated in the form of an official Memo.

1. From Subramanya Sastri Agent of the Sringeri Mutt Petition Dy of the 10.10.79
   Complains that orders of Govt should be communicated to him in the form of Offl memo and not in that of an endorsement
2. To the petitioner Subramanya Sastri S.M. Dy 2349 dt the 16.12.79
   ~~Cancels~~ Forwards an O.M. to be substituted for the Endorsement Dy 1975 of the 26.9.79, and returns the enclosures

April 1

(28)

No. 2 of 4 G.

Name of Petitioner. Subramania Aiyar

Village. Singi Kuti

Taluk. Ariyalur

District. Trichinopoly

Date of Arri. 31st January 1911

Date of Receipt. 3rd April 1911

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner submits certain allegations against the conduct of the Sub-Magistrate of Ariyalur and prays that he may be directed to refund the fine of Rs. 5 levied from him by the Sub-Magistrate.

Petitioner's allegations against the Sub-Magistrate are not supported by any evidence. The Sub-Magistrate has explained the circumstances under which he imposed the fine. The petition is frivolous and vexatious and should be rejected.

Petitioner's allegations against the Sub-Magistrate are not supported by any evidence. The Sub-Magistrate has explained the circumstances under which he imposed the fine. The petition is frivolous and vexatious and should be rejected.

Petitioner's allegations against the Sub-Magistrate are not supported by any evidence. The Sub-Magistrate has explained the circumstances under which he imposed the fine. The petition is frivolous and vexatious and should be rejected.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 10-10-1979ರಲ್ಲಿ

No 2349
DESPATCHED 13/2/80

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
72

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2
ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಶಾಖೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 10.10.79

ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ರಾಮನಾಥಪುರ ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಕೆಲವು ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾವೇರಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ ಪುನರ್ವಸತಿ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ರಾಮನಾಥಪುರ ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಕೆಲವು ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾವೇರಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ ಪುನರ್ವಸತಿ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದೆ.

ಮೊದಲನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಹಾರಂಗಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ ಪುನರ್ವಸತಿ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Serial 2 3

No. 2349

ENDORSEMENT. (4)

Bhéri & Memorandum

In reply to his Petition, dated 1st September 19

Sangli Sant
Subramanya Shetty, agent of [illegible] is informed that—
[illegible]
the Revenue Survey Rules contained
in the Endorsement No
1995 of the 6th September 19 *

The Sanctioned increment,

* R.M. of 79-80 Sl 2

"By Order,"

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office,
Daftar Department,
11 Feb 188[illegible].

Secretary.